

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,417	04/26/2001	Tetsuharu Fukushima	450100-03177	9333	
75	90 07/25/2002				
William S. Frommer, Esq. FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP 745 Fifth Avenue			EXAMINER		
			LE, DANG D		
New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/843,417	FUKUSHIMA, TETSUHARU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dang D Le	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this community of the Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sumunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	on for allowance except for formal ma ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/26/01</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is: a)∏ approved b)∏ d	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority 	y documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been received in A	Application No				
	s of the priority documents have beer national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies not	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	·					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	inguage provisional application has b	peen received.				
Attachment(s)	12. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11.	. 35 :== =::				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/843,417

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu in view of Inariba.

Regarding claim 1, Shimizu shows an AC servomotor using an annular polar anisotropic magnet (column 5, lines 60-65) in a rotor.

Shimizu does not show the annular polar anisotropic magnet being split into two or more annular polar anisotropic magnets in an axial line direction thereof, and magnetic poles of the corresponding split annular polar anisotropic magnets are disposed so as to be shifted by a predetermined angle theta prime which is greater than a skew angle theta which is determined based on the number of torque ripples per rotation of the rotor determined by the number of magnetic poles of the annular polar anisotropic magnet at the rotor side and the number of slots in a stator-side iron core.

For the purpose of obtaining a smooth operation, Inariba shows the annular polar magnet being split into two or more annular polar magnets in an axial line direction thereof (Figures 5A, 5B), and magnetic poles of the corresponding split annular polar anisotropic magnets are disposed so as to be shifted by a predetermined angle beta.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,417

Art Unit: 2834

Since Shimizu and Inariba are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to split the annular polar anisotropic magnet into two or more annular polar anisotropic magnets in an axial line direction thereof, and dispose magnetic poles of the corresponding split annular polar anisotropic magnets so as to be shifted by a predetermined angle theta prime which is greater than a skew angle theta which is determined based on the number of torque ripples per rotation of the rotor determined by the number of magnetic poles of the annular polar anisotropic magnet at the rotor side and the number of slots in a stator-side iron core as taught by Inariba for the purpose discussed above.

In addition, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shift the two or more magnets by an angle greater than the skew angle, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 2, it is also noted that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the skew angle theta equal to half the period of a cogging torque which is determined based on the number of torque ripples per rotation of the rotor determined by the number of magnetic poles of the annular polar anisotropic magnet and the number of slots in the stator-side iron core, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective

variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 3, it is also noted that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to obtain the predetermined angle theta prime by adding to the skew angle theta a value which takes into consideration magnetic interference between the split annular polar anisotropic magnets., since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 4, it is also noted that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the predetermined angle theta prime approximately 4/3 times the skew angle theta which corresponds to half the period of a cogging torque determined based on the number of torque ripples per rotation of the rotor determined by the number of magnetic poles of the annular polar anisotropic magnet and the number of slots in the stator-side iron core, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Information on How to Contact USPTO

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7382 for regular communications and (703) 308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

DDL July 23, 2002

PC